UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/538,551	06/13/2005	Bianchi Gianfranco	205197	5783
27774 7590 04/26/2007 MAYER & WILLIAMS PC 251 NORTH AVENUE WEST			EXAMINER	
			WAKS, JOSEPH	
2ND FLOOR WESTFIELD, NJ 07090			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2834	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		04/26/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		TH	
·	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summer	10/538,551	GIANFRANCO, BIANCHI	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Joseph Waks	2834	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a r n. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tatute, cause the application to become AB	CATION. eply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	3 June 2005.		
	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	owance except for formal matt	ers, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice und	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the applica	tion.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.			
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6-10</u> is/are objected to.			
8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election requirement.		
Application Papers	•		
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Exan	niner.		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>13 June 2005</u> is/are	e: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ obje	cted to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeyan	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the con	rrection is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•		
12) ☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority docum		an Pantan Na	
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docum3. ☒ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents.			
application from the International Bu	•	received in this National Stage	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	received	
	• •		
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	Summary (PTO-413)	
2) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s	s)/Mail Date	
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5)	nformal Patent Application	

Application/Control Number: 10/538,551

Art Unit: 2834

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

- 1. The listing of references in the specification is not a proper information disclosure statement. 37 CFR 1.98(b) requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office, and MPEP § 609.04(a) states, "the list may not be incorporated into the specification but must be submitted in a separate paper." Therefore, unless the references have been cited by the examiner on form PTO-892, they have not been considered.
- 2. The references cited in the Search Report PCT/IT02/00784 have been considered, but will not be listed on any patent resulting from this application because they were not provided on a separate list in compliance with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(1). In order to have the references printed on such resulting patent, a separate listing, preferably on a PTO/SB/08A and 08B form, must be filed within the set period for reply to this Office action.

Specification

3. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/538,551 Page 3

Art Unit: 2834

disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

In line 1, "The invention relates" is a phrase that can be implied.

Claim Objections

4. Claims 6-10 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim should refer to other claims in the alternative only and cannot depend from any other multiple dependent claim. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claims have not been further treated on the merits.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 6. Claim 2 recites the limitation "the cooling fluid" in line 3, and claim 3 recites the limitation "the evaporator" in lines 2 and 4. There is insufficient antecedent basis for these limitations in the claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 8. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Armstrong et al. (US 2,799,782).

Armstrong et al. disclose invention as claimed: an internal-combustion engine 23, an electric power generator 24 connected to the internal-combustion engine for being actuated by it and generating electric power, wherein that the generator is cooled with cold air obtained by means of a cooling apparatus 136 associated with the operating unit.

9. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bianchi (US 6,414,399).

Bianchi discloses invention as claimed: an internal-combustion engine 2, an electric power generator 15 connected to the internal-combustion engine for being actuated by it and generating electric power, wherein that the generator is cooled with cold air obtained by means of a cooling apparatus 33 associated with the operating unit, a compressor 18 connected to the engine, and evaporator 35 located adjacent the generator.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 10. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 11. Claims 3/1, 3/2, 4/3/1, 4/3/2, 5/4/3/1 and 5/4/3/2 are rejected under 35
 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bianchi (US 6,414,399) in view of San Miguel (US 6,732,827).

Application/Control Number: 10/538,551

Art Unit: 2834

Bianchi discloses the unit essentially as claimed. However, Bianchi does not disclose the generator being cooled by ventilated air passing through at least a part of the evaporator.

San Miguel discloses a generator 40 having a cooling air passage 72 supplied with air from the air conditioning unit 16 for the purpose of providing cold, filtered and dehumidified air for the generator cooling.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to design the unit as taught by Bianchi and to provide the generator being cooled by ventilated air passing through an air conditioning unit as taught by San Miguel for the purpose of cooling the generator with clean and sub-cooled air that inherently reduces the size of the generator and increases the life of the system. It will be further obvious to pass the air though at least part of the evaporator since the evaporator is inherently the part of air conditioning system where such sub-cooling and dehumidification of air may occur.

Prior Art

12. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph Waks whose telephone number is (571) 272-2037. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday 8 am to 5 pm.

Art Unit: 2834

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Darren E. Schuberg can be reached on (571) 272-2044. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Joseph Waks Primary Examiner Art Unit 2834

4/23/07